



East of England Ambulance Service NHS Trust

Hammond Road

Bedford

MK41 0RG

Date 23rd July 2021

Our Ref: SZC/ZM

DEADLINE 5

Written Representation: Draft Deed of Obligation (EN010012-005379-DL3)

In reference to the draft Deed of obligation submitted at Deadline 3, the CCG has the following statements it wishes to be considered by the ExA of the applicant and SCC;

- Schedule 1 – Contributions

- 4.1 Unspent contributions

- Currently states any unspent monies to be returned to the applicant in 5 years, along with interest. EEAST would request consideration of flexibility within this arrangement specifically in conjunction with the delegated NHS budget arrangements and the need for consideration around monitoring measures throughout the construction period. The mechanisms and grounds for determining unspent monies needs to be further defined in the Deed of Obligation within context of the project governance model.

- Schedule 2 – Councils Resources

EEAST must insist on this section being expanded beyond the local authority to 3rd party organisations within the Suffolk and North East Essex Integrated Care System such as East of England Ambulance Service and the CCG or its successor body. A more joined up approach with local authority partners with a joint post for monitoring and governance in relation to the Health and Wellbeing Group alone would be beneficial for all parties. This is anticipated to equate to 0.5WTE at Band 8 per annum for the duration of the construction period.

- Schedule 4 – Emergency Services

- 3.3.2 Emergency Services Contribution

- EEAST would request the applicant includes contributions for costs resulting from implementing changes required in order to respond to incidents relating to this Project as a result of reviews and updating of plans.

Interim Chief Executive: Dr Tom Davis

Chair: Nicola Scrivings

www.eastamb.nhs.uk

3.3.3B Emergency Services Contribution

- EEAST would request the applicant includes contributions to costs resulting from collaboration work to deliver the measures in this Deed to stakeholders in the Community Services Working Group

EEAST respectfully requests the need for instalments be removed but instead an agreed allocation of funds be defined that could be drawn down from using an agreed set of monitoring measures and governance processes through the Community Safety Working Group up to the Social Review Group. Based upon Ipswich and East Suffolk CCG engagement with CCG Somerset colleagues and learning from Hinkly Point C, a more flexible approach would be more beneficial to enable an evidence base to be produced through monitoring throughout the construction period.

4.1.1 Emergency Services Contingency Contribution

EEAST respectfully requests that in the event of any protest or demonstration that emergency services are included in the paragraph for reimbursement via Suffolk County Council for reasonable costs for uninsured repairs, making good and cleaning reasonable and any deployment costs.

- Schedule 5 – Public Services and Community Safety

Local Community Safety and Community Health Measures contribution instalments

2.1.1 EEAST respectfully requests the need for instalments be removed but instead an agreed allocation of funds be defined that could be drawn down from using an agreed set of monitoring measures and governance processes through the Community Safety Working Group up to the Social Review Group. Based upon Ipswich and East Suffolk CCG engagement with CCG Somerset colleagues and learning from Hinkly Point C, a more flexible approach would be more beneficial to enable an evidence base to be produced through monitoring throughout the construction period.

- Schedule 7 – Employment, Skills, Education and Supply Chain

EEAST respectfully requests the applicant amends schedule

1.1 (e) Definitions and Interpretations to extend the workforce survey to assess the impact on vacancies and difficulties in recruitment and retention with existing employers in the catchment area. EEAST has raised concerns about recruitment and retention of EEAST staff in the area, as well as provision and support for skills and education initiatives such as our Community First Responders and Public education initiatives. We would also request the applicant considers mitigation arrangements should EEAST be adversely affected and in our ability to recruit and retain our workforce.

- Schedule 16 – Transport Review Group

3.2 Administration and Decision-Making of TRG

EEAST would like it acknowledged that whilst we are not a recognised member of the Transport Review Group emergency services (police, fire and ambulance) are consulted about initiatives and potential decisions that are referred to throughout Schedule 16 would be delivered in partnership with the emergency services as part of an integrated approach. Therefore, it would be beneficial to acknowledge the wider approach to providing initiatives for improving the transport management and mitigation plans through the early engagement with the Community Services Working Group.